

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,955	07/24/2003	David O. Lewis	ROC920030175US1	1644	
46797 IBM CORDOR	7590 12/07/2007	I DDADEDTVI AW	EXAMINER		
IBM CORPORATION, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT 917, BLDG. 006-1			HENEGHAN,	HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E	
	AY 52 NORTH , MN 55901-7829		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2134		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		12/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

. , 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/625,955 LEWIS ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew Heneghan	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI		•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notan Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	in the final rejection, whi	ichever is later. II
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 706.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing that three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	is of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	∍cause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NO 	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ootou olaliilo.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li></ol>	):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17 and 20-53.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wil ovided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  The officiality or other avidence filed offer a final action.	Abatan and the Court	-Al & A	
B.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to</li> </ol>			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

/Matthew Heneghan/

December 4, 2007

Primary Patent Examiner, USPTO

÷-- ., 1

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Though cryptographic operations may be disrupted if keys have been compromised, the invention of MacKenzie and Silver would work as designed; they provide enablement to the extent that they render obvious Applicant's claimed invention.